

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			·	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,691	08/05/2003	Kiyoji Minegishi	59558.00018	7601
32294	7590 12/03/2004		EXAM	INER
SQUIRE, SA	ANDERS & DEMPSE	BRYANT,	BRYANT, DAVID P	
	8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			PAPER NUMBER
TYSONS CO				

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		$\wedge \wedge \wedge$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/633,691	MINEGISHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David P. Bryant	3726				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Section 201 Section	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 September 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-9 is/are withdrawn	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
·- · · · - ·						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> are subject to restriction and/or el	ection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* ' '					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Oπice	ACTION OF TORM PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1-6) in the reply filed on September 1, 2004, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that (1) MPEP 806.05(g) is directed to restriction between apparatus and product made, not product and process of making, and (2) it is not possible to assemble the claimed sliding structure using the method taught in U.S. Patent No. 5,286,237. This is not found persuasive because of the following:

- (1) Although the previous examiner incorrectly identified the MPEP citation as 806.05(g), the claims may still be restricted as set forth in 806.05(f). As required by that section, the previous examiner set forth a different process by which the claimed sliding structure can be made.
- (2) A simple statement that it is not possible to assemble the claimed sliding structure in the manner suggested by the previous examiner is insufficient without supporting arguments or evidence. However, the present examiner wishes to set forth another method in which the claimed sliding structure may be assembled: by using a fixture to position the rolling elements about the exterior of the rotor and subsequently sliding a retainer over the so-positioned rolling elements.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. Upon further review of the elected claims, it has been determined that a further election of species is required prior to examination, as set forth below.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

<u>Species A:</u> The embodiment depicted in Figure 7 (readable on claims 2 and 3).

<u>Species B:</u> The embodiment depicted in Figure 8 (readable on claims 4 and 5).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 6 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3726

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David P. Bryant whose telephone number is (571) 272-4526. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (6:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David P. Bryant Primary Examiner Art Unit 3726